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## Market Prognostication

In his treatise on stock market patterns, the late Professor Harry V. Roberts ${ }^{1}$ observed that "of all economic time series, the history of stock prices, both individual and aggregate, has probably been most widely and intensively studied," and "patterns of technical analysis may be little if nothing more than a statistical artifact." ${ }^{\text {² }}$ Ibbotson and Sinquefield maintain that historical stock price data cannot be used to predict daily, weekly or monthly percent changes in the market averages. However, they do claim the ability to predict in advance the probability that the market will move between $+\mathrm{X} \%$ and $-\mathrm{Y} \%$ over a specific period. ${ }^{3}$ Only to this very limited extent - forecasting the probabilities of return - can historical stock price movements be considered indicative of future price movements.

In Chart 1, we present a histogram of the five-day rate of change (ROC) in the S\&P 500 since 1928 . The five-day ROC of stock prices has ranged from - $27 \%$ to $+24 \%$. This normal distribution ${ }^{4}$ is strong evidence that five-day changes in stock prices are effectively random. Out of 21,165 observations of five-day ROCs, there have been 138 declines exceeding $-8 \%,(0.65 \%$ of total) and 150 gains greater than $+8 \%(0.71 \%$ of total). Accordingly, Ibbotson and Sinquefield would maintain that over any given 5-day period, the probability of the S\&P 500 gaining or losing $8 \%$ or more is $1.36 \%$. Stated differently, the probabilities of the S\&P 500 returning between $-7.99 \%$ and $+7.99 \%$ are $98.64 \%$.

Professor Jeremy Siegel adopts this idea. Siegel states, "The total return on equities dominates all other assets." ${ }^{\text { }}$ Based on probabilities, we can be nearly certain that over the long-term, stocks will outperform bonds, gold, commodities, inflation, real estate, and other tradable investments.

Are these ideas true? Are stock price movements effectively random? Do historical stock market returns indicate probabilities of future returns? Can statistical analysis tell us that the equities market will continue to outperform all other assets? Can stock market data never indicate that over a given period of time the market will increase at a rate greater than its historical gain? Can stock market data never point toward the probability of a decline overwhelming the probability of a rally?

Chart 1
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## Roll of the Dice

Let us compare the capital markets to a pair of dice, and the shooting of the double sixes to an investment in the equity market. Let us assume that beginning in the year 1900 only one pair of dice existed and all gamblers played with that dice. Let us assume that the dice were weighted and biased towards the shooting of the double six. Rather than the honest odds of $2.78 \%$ for the throwing of the double six, let us assume the odds were $5.00 \%$. It is logical to assume that all those who bet on or against the double six would seek compensation commensurate with the perceived (but inaccurately considered) risk. After a few years however, some gamblers may begin to notice a statistical anomaly. It would seem as if the double six were favored. Those gamblers would seek to adjust to the perceived new reality. As more and more gamblers took notice, and accepted the fact that the dice are inherently biased, they will adjust their betting odds accordingly.

Academics are, in fact, comparing the capital markets to those loaded dice. By studying historical market data, they have discovered the true nature of those dice. Ibbotson, Sinquefield, and Siegel can now state with certainty that stocks will outperform bonds and probabilistically return between $+\mathrm{X} \%$ and $-\mathrm{Y} \%$ over the next day, week, month or decade.

It is not just members of academia who have discovered the positive bias of the stock market. Investors in general seem to compare the market to those inadvertently loaded dice as well. Historically, investors wrongly assumed that buying stocks was a risky endeavor. As compensation for taking that risk, investors in equities

- Required a cash yield higher than that of long-term corporate bonds ${ }^{6}$
- Sought high absolute dividend yields ${ }^{7}$
- Invested only a small portion of their assets in stock ${ }^{8}$
- Limited their margin exposure ${ }^{9}$

Not yet realizing that the capital markets (dice) were positively biased towards the equity market (double sixes), investors liquidated en masse when dividend yields declined or economic slowdowns materialized. Experiencing decade after decade of stocks outperforming bonds, investors have come to realize that the market compensates for the risks assumed. They no longer require stock yields to be greater than the bond yield. ${ }^{10}$ They no longer require a high absolute dividend yield. ${ }^{11}$ High long-term exposure to the equity market is common. ${ }^{12}$ Investing on margin is an accepted norm. ${ }^{13}$ Further confirming the market's positive bias, the 1987 crash passed with nary an effect, and the 2000-2003 Internet-stock implosion did not destroy well-diversified portfolios. The Dow, small-cap, mid-cap, and emerging markets worldwide continue making new, all-time highs. The wealth-creating machine continues running as expected. Investors know that over the longterm (measured in decades), stocks create wealth. Over the short-term (measured in days, months, and years), stock market direction is unpredictable!

## Statistics vs. Markets

We disagree with the view of the academics, and deem the application of conventional statistical analysis to stock market prices as misguided. Stock market returns and risks cannot be compared to the probable outcomes of the throw of a pair of dice. ${ }^{14}$ Nor can a bell-shaped curve generated by historical stock price movements be compared to the bell-shaped curve generated by a Quincunx board. ${ }^{15}$ This is because an economic system is not the same as a physical system. In a physical system, predicted outcomes of dice rolls and Quincunx ball drops are true by definition. Trials or historic tests are not required to determine future outcomes. The probabilities of the outcomes are inherent within the nature of the object or system.

In economic systems such as the Capital Asset Price Structure of the United States markets, there are no physical objects or material systems to analyze. Historical returns and risks may never be replicable. The structure is in a constant state of unrest. Economies based on capitalism can turn to socialism. Heavily regulated or protected industries can be liberalized. Thriving industries can virtually vanish due to foreign competition. Industries prosperous in a free environment may encounter excessive regulation or nationalization by a socialistically inclined Congress. Tax rates may be raised or lowered. The unit of account itself (the currency) may be recalibrated. The Federal Reserve may mismanage the supply of money and credit, transform mild recessions into deep depressions, or turn normal cyclical recoveries into credit based booms. In short, when measuring the capital markets, particularly the stock market, one is measuring the results of a myriad of factors that may or may not repeat. Unique factors that may affect the markets in the future are not necessarily part of the historic system being measured.

Most importantly, statistical analysis of stock prices does not measure any of the various financial statistics of the companies that make up the market. Nor does statistical analysis measure any of the economic and political factors that contribute to the wealth of the nation. All that is actually being measured are the prices that investors are paying for those economic entities. Prices paid for marketable securities are far removed from a physical or natural system suitable to the rigors of statistical dissection.

We therefore believe that based on statistical analysis one can only affirm that the stock market may or may not outperform bonds in the future or that stocks may or may not exhibit a long-term rising price trend in the future. We can only know with a certainty that stocks may or may not compensate investors for risk assumed, and we can have no idea where the market will trade one day, one week, one month, one year, or one decade from the present.

We plainly disagree with Ibbotson, Sinquefield and Siegel, and do not recognize the ability to predict probabilities of stock market fluctuations. We take note that Nobel Prize winning economists portray the movement of stock prices as a random or drunkard's walk. ${ }^{16}$ Does this understanding of stock price movements mark the futility of technical market analysis?
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## Paradox of Prediction

In fact, were the movements of stock market prices to be of a random nature, the ultimate price trend may still be known and predictable in advance. This apparent paradox - that directionality can be predicted even if price movements were random - is based on a unique exception to the drunkard's walk rule.

The famed zoologist and writer Stephen Jay Gould gives the following example. "A man staggers out of a bar dead drunk. He stands on the sidewalk in front of the bar, with the wall of the bar on one side and the gutter on the other. If he reaches the gutter he falls down into a stupor and the sequence ends. For simplicity's sake, [and this example fits with the linear direction of stock price movement, either up or down] we will say that the drunk staggers in a single line only, either toward the wall or toward the gutter. He does not move at right angles along the sidewalk parallel to the wall and gutter.
"Where will the drunkard end up if we let him stagger long enough and entirely at random? He will finish in the gutter absolutely every time and for the following reason: Each stagger goes in either direction with $50 \%$ probability. The bar wall at one side is a 'reflecting boundary.' If the drunkard hits the wall, he just stays there until a subsequent random stagger propels him in the other direction. In other words, only one direction of movement remains open for continuous advance - toward the gutter.
"In a system of linear motion structurally constrained by a wall at one end, random movement, with no preferred directionality whatsoever, will inevitably propel the average position away from a starting point at the wall. The drunkard falls into the gutter every time, but his motion includes no trend whatever toward this form of perdition." ${ }^{17}$

We posit that rigorous technical analysis can identify areas of "reflecting boundaries" in the capital markets. The direction of stock price movements can therefore be predicted in advance despite the perceived random nature of their daily and weekly moves.

## Graham \& Dodd Meet Technical Analysis

Value investors admit that stock prices do not always reflect the many financial statistics of the companies they value. The only certainties that stock prices do reveal are the levels at which buyers and sellers have agreed to transact. ${ }^{18}$ The discipline of value investing depends on this fact, that stock price fluctuations are not always value driven. Stock price movements must be radically independent of fluctuations in the value of the underlying entity in order for value investing to be effective. If stock prices always reflect the underlying value of a company, how could a company whose intrinsic value was $\$ 50$ ever trade at $\$ 20$ ? How could a company worth $\$ 50$ ever trade at $\$ 100$ ? How could a stock, or for that matter the market, ever be overpriced or undervalued?

A more philosophical complexity is the following: If a stock appraised at $\$ 50$ can be found to trade at $\$ 20$, why can it not forever remain at $\$ 20$ ? How can we be confident that this stock will return to intrinsic value? Why should a market that evaluates securities incorrectly be assumed to correctly price those very same securities in the future?

Benjamin Graham was asked this very question. In testifying before Congress, Graham stated, "That is one of the mysteries of our business, and it is a mystery to me as well as to everybody else. We know from experience that eventually the market catches up with value. ${ }^{\prime \prime} 19$

Graham, the father of fundamental security analysis considered the philosophy behind his discipline to be a "mystery."20 By our understanding, value investing works because excessively low or high stock prices relative to intrinsic valuation serve as a technical indicator of the proximity of a reflecting boundary. That reflecting boundary exists at a price level and during a time period when many diverse fundamental and technical factors converge. Low valuation is one of the factors that can contribute to that reflecting boundary. Low valuation itself is not that boundary, for if it were, then levels of undervaluation that determine a bottom would remain consistent over time. However a stock or market may bottom at $40 \%$ of intrinsic value, at other times it may do so at $50 \%$ or $30 \%$ of intrinsic value. There must be other factors that combine to contribute to that reflecting boundary. We do not attempt to discover those factors. We use technical data to discover when and at what level these reflecting boundaries exist. In our view, the primary causes of stock price movements are too diverse, complex, and hidden to be analyzable. What we as technicians attempt to do is recognize the symptoms that lead and accompany directional movement of stock market prices.

We posit that "reflecting boundaries" exist in the stock market. We do not know the nature of these reflecting boundaries. They are clearly not a predetermined boundary that can be measured and calculated. Nor are they fixed at a specific price level or calendar date. Their existence can at times be temporary, or very long lasting. There can be a single boundary or a series of boundaries at successively higher or lower prices. For reasons not knowable through direct analysis, these boundaries can cause stock prices to find support against further decline, or conversely they can cause stock prices to find resistance against further rally.

## Discovering the Boundaries

Having theorized that stock price movements are generally random but are affected by boundaries of support and resistance, let us now reveal methods of discovering those boundaries. Let us return to Chart 1 , the five-day rate of change.

This is a simple indicator, one that is based solely on price and time. Note that the curve generated by five-day rates of change is a standard curve. This fiveday data should proffer no predictive edge, and a statistician would conclude that these five-day rates of change are random. They are random in the sense that they cannot be predicted in advance. But where others perceive randomness, we take notice. Why would buyers be willing to pay $8-24 \%$ more for a diversified portfolio of stocks than they were willing to pay five days prior? Why would sellers be willing to accept $8-24 \%$ less than they were willing to receive five days prior? We do not care to know the answer. We care that it is a good question. We care that the action of those buyers and sellers are effectively aberrant.

Our notion is that the only information that can be gleaned from stock prices is the willingness of investors to pay those prices. We therefore study the tails of standard statistical curves and take note when they reflect anomalous behavior on the part of those who determine market prices. The specific times at which this action takes place cannot be predicted in advance, and their occurrence is effectively random. But those uncommon actions, when they do take place, signal the proximity of that "reflecting boundary." When an apparent reflecting boundary has been hit by a myriad of buyers and sellers, the market inevitably propels away from that boundary.
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## Chart 1



Chart 2 displays an arrow each time the S\&P 500 has rallied $8 \%{ }^{21}$ or more over a five- day period. See Appendix 1 for all signal dates.
Chart 2
Five-Day ROC +8\%


Note that the periods during which those extraordinary events occur are often proximate significant turning points. ${ }^{22}$

[^3]Technical indicators do not reveal causes of market movement. They simply indicate the proximity of a reflecting boundary. We therefore use technical indicators only in context of a potential reflective boundary. When creating models we utilize data only when they are proximate to a measured high or low, a potentially precise turning point.

Using the five-day Rate of Change we eliminate all signals that are not proximate to potential and significant short term lows. Each signal date that is more than six days after the markets lowest low over the previous 90 days is therefore ignored. Additionally, we void of any thrust type indicator that signals just one to three days after a market low. We therefore eliminate any signal that flashes only one to three days after a 90 day low. This five-day ROC indicator then signals whenever the market has gained $8 \%$ or more over five days, as well as having made a new 90 day low within the previous four, five, or six days. See Appendix $2 .{ }^{23}$

Table 1 presents all of the final five-day $+8 \%$ ROC signals.
Table 1
Five-Day ROC 8\% or greater 4-6 days after 90-day low

| Signal Date | \# of Days <br> Post 90- <br> day Low | Signal Results |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
| $3 / 18 / 2003$ | 5 | $\mathbf{8 0 \%}$ 4 Years 7 Months |
| $10 / 15 / 2002$ | 4 | $\mathbf{6 5 \%}$ 4 Years 4 Months |
| $7 / 29 / 2002$ | 4 | $\mathbf{6 1 \%}$ 4 Years 6 Months |
| $8 / 20 / 1982$ | 6 | $\mathbf{5 3 \%}$ 1 Year 2 Months |
| $10 / 10 / 1974$ | 6 | $\mathbf{5 5 \%}$ 2 Years |
| $6 / 1 / 1970$ | 4 | $\mathbf{3 4 \%}$ 11 Months |
| $7 / 5 / 1962$ | 6 | $\mathbf{6 5 \%}$ 3 Years 7 Months |
| $1 / 5 / 1942$ | 5 | TOP DAY |
| $6 / 15 / 1940$ | 5 | $\mathbf{1 4 \%}$ 4 Months |
| $4 / 5 / 1938$ | 4 | $\mathbf{3 1 \%}$ 7 Months |
| $10 / 25 / 1937$ | 6 | 5 Days to TOP |
| $7 / 7 / 1937$ | 6 | $\mathbf{5 . 8 \%} \mathbf{1}$ Months |
| $6 / 8 / 1934$ | 5 | 7 Days to TOP |
| $10 / 27 / 1933$ | 5 | $\mathbf{2 4 \%}$ 3 Months |
| $3 / 15 / 1933$ | 5 | $\mathbf{7 4 \%} \quad \mathbf{1 1}$ Months |
| $6 / 6 / 1932$ | 4 | $\mathbf{1 1 2 \%}$ 3 Months |
| $10 / 9 / 1931$ | 4 | $\mathbf{9 \%} \quad \mathbf{1}$ Month |
| $6 / 8 / 1931$ | 5 | $\mathbf{1 1 \%}$ 17 Days |
| $11 / 15 / 1930$ | 5 | 5 Days to TOP |
| $11 / 19 / 1929$ | 4 | $\mathbf{2 5 \%} \quad \mathbf{5}$ Months |

Recognizing the existence of reflecting boundaries and using price and time data alone, we have created an indicator in the S\&P 500 Index that signaled within four to six days of the historic lows of:
$\checkmark$ November 13, 1929
$\checkmark$ June 1, 1932
$\checkmark$ February 27, 1933
$\checkmark$ June 26, 1962
$\checkmark$ May 26, 1970
$\checkmark$ October 3, 1974
$\checkmark$ August 12, 1982
And that signaled within four days of the triple bottom that began the latest bull market:
$\checkmark$ July 23, 2002
$\checkmark$ October 9, 2002
$\checkmark$ March 11, 2003

[^4]We have displayed the right tails of the five-day ROC curve. We have established that random movements of stock prices in conjunction with boundary analysis can be used to pinpoint proximate turning points. We now turn to the left tails of the same standard curve. Chart 3 displays an arrow each time the S\&P 500 has declined $8 \%$ or more over a five-day period. See Appendix 3 for all signal dates.

Chart 3
Five-Day ROC -8\%


Note that these signals, which use a negative $8 \%$ parameter, often occur directly proximate a significant turning point.
Using these $-8 \%$ five-day ROC signal dates, we eliminate all signals that are not proximate to potential lows. We therefore include only those signals that take place as the market is trading at a maximum of one day ${ }^{24}$ after a six month low. All signal dates that are two days or more after a six-month low are eliminated.

Having utilized the two main legs of technical analysis, price and time, we will now introduce the third leg of technical analysis, volume. Five-day market volume can be represented by a standard curve, yet significant increases in market volume are not randomly distributed. The following (Chart 4) indicates each time the five-day average of daily volume was highest in 250 days. Out of 20,876 observations since 1929 , there have been 425 instances ( $2.04 \%$ of total) of five-day average daily volume at a 250 day high. See Appendix 4 for all dates on which this occurred.

Chart 4
Five-Day Volume Highers in 250 Days


[^5]We wonder why sellers would accept $8-24 \%$ less than they were willing to obtain five days prior. More importantly, we note that their urgency to sell (as reflected in the 250 -day volume figures) increases dramatically as prices decline. In Table 2 we combine price, time and volume. Table 2 lists all periods during which both the five-day rate of decline was $-8 \%$ or greater (price and time) and the five-day average of volume was highest within 250 days (time and volume). Additionally, in seeking indications of a technical reflecting boundary, we consider only those dates on which the price the sellers receive for their index of stocks was within one day of the lowest price they could have received during the previous six months (price and time). Results in Table 2 are compelling. By observing aberrations in price, time, and volume, we have created a viable capitulation-defining indicator.

# Table 2 <br> Five-Day ROC -8\% <br> Six Month Low <br> 250-Day Volume High 

| Signal Date | Signal Results |
| :---: | :--- |
| $7 / 23 / 2002$ | $83 \%$ IN 4 1/2 YEARS |
| $9 / 21 / 2001$ | $21 \%$ IN 3 1/4 MONTHS |
| $9 / 20 / 2001$ | $18 \%$ IN 3 1/4 MONTHS |
| $9 / 19 / 2001$ | $15 \%$ IN 3 1/2 MONTHS |
| $9 / 18 / 2001$ | $13 \%$ IN 3 1/2 MONTHS |
| $9 / 17 / 2001$ | $12 \%$ IN 3 1/2 MONTHS |
| $9 / 01 / 1998$ | $56 \%$ IN 1 1/2 YEARS |
| $10 / 19 / 1987$ | $63 \%$ IN $23 / 4$ YEARS |
| $5 / 28 / 1962$ | $69 \%$ IN 3 2/3 YEARS |
| $9 / 09 / 1946$ | $14 \%$ IN $13 / 4$ YEARS |
| $10 / 29 / 1929$ | $25 \%$ IN 6 MONTHS |
| $10 / 28 / 1929$ | $14 \%$ IN 6 MONTHS |

## Table 3

## Five-Day ROC -8\% <br> Six Month Low <br> 250-Day Volume High <br> Last Signal in Series

| Buy Signal Date | One Day Price Lag | Five-day Average of 250 DAY Volume Lag | Six- <br> Month <br> Low <br> Lag | Signal Results |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11/6/1929 | +1 | 1 | 4 | 21\% IN 5 MONTHS |
| 11/14/1929 | +1 | 7 | 1 | 28\% IN 5 MONTHS |
| 9/9/1946 | +1 | 0 | 0 | 17\% IN $13 / 4$ YEARS |
| 5/28/1962 | +1 | 0 | 0 | 62\% IN $32 / 3$ YEARS |
| 10/23/1987 | +1 | 1 | 4 | 61\% IN $23 / 4$ YEARS |
| 10/28/1987 | +1 | 4 | 7 | 50\% IN $23 / 4$ YEARS |
| 9/2/1998 | +1 | 0 | 2 | 48\% IN $11 / 3$ YEARS |
| 9/21/2001 | +1 | 0 | 0 | $16 \%$ IN $21 / 2$ MONTHS |
| 7/23/2002 | +1 | 0 | 0 | $73 \%$ IN $41 / 2$ YEARS |
|  |  |  |  |  |

This method can be refined further. We wait until a series of five-day $8 \%$ declines ends. Since we cannot know when that final day of a series occurs until a day after the series ends, we set our signal dates as one day after a $-8 \%$ ROC extreme. To accommodate this adjustment we allow our buy signal to lag the 250 -day volume boundary and the six-month low boundary by a maximum of seven days. (see table 3 )

Recognizing the existence of reflecting boundaries, and using price, volume and time alone, we have created an indicator in the S\&P 500 Index that signaled within four-days of the historic lows of: November 13, 1929; October 19, 1987; July 23, 2002; and near the final low of June 26,1962.

## TRIN + Five-Day Volume

This concept that markets turn at reflecting boundaries permits the same indicators to call both tops and bottoms. It depends on whether those indicators are signaling at a potential top boundary or at a potential bottom boundary. An excellent example is the S\&P 500 TRIN indicator.

We consider a reading on the S\&P 500 TRIN at or below. 50 as representing extreme urgency to buy. Since 1957 there have been $530(4.13 \%$ of total) days in which TRIN was at . 50 or below. Looking at the five-day volume figures, we find that since 1957 there have been 240 instances ( $1.87 \%$ of total) in which the five-day average volume was highest in 375 days. (see appendix 5) When TRIN trades at or below. 50 on a given day or on the previous day, and the five-day average volume is highest in 375 days on that day or on the previous day, we have an indicator suggestive of a potential market turn.

If the market has traded at a new one-year low within the previous ten days (supportive boundary), we get a buy signal. See Table 4, and note that all seven signals resulted in long-term bull markets. (see chart appendix 6)

If however the market is trading at a new three year high (potential top boundary) and during the previous five days TRIN traded at or below .50, and the five-day average volume was highest in 375 days within one day of the TRIN extreme, we get a sell signal. (see table 4a) Note that all signals led to bear markets. (see chart appendix 7)

Table 4
TRIN + Volume + 1-Year Low BUY SIGNAL

| Signal Date | Signal Results | TRIN <br> Date | 5-Day <br> Volume Date | 1-Year <br> Low Date |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $10 / 23 / 1957$ | 49\% Gain in 1.75 Years | $10 / 23 / 1957$ | $10 / 23 / 1957$ | $10 / 23 / 1957$ |
| $5 / 29 / 1962$ | Early Signal +61\% in 3.5 Years | $5 / 29 / 1962$ | $5 / 29 / 1962$ | $5 / 28 / 1962$ |
| $3 / 9 / 1982$ | Early Signal +54\% in 1.6 Years | $3 / 9 / 1982$ | $3 / 9 / 1982$ | $3 / 8 / 1982$ |
| $8 / 18 / 1982$ | $54 \%$ Gain in 1.3 Years | $8 / 17 / 1982$ | $8 / 18 / 1982$ | $8 / 12 / 1982$ |
| $8 / 3 / 1984$ | 106\% Gain in 3 Years | $8 / 3 / 1984$ | $8 / 3 / 1984$ | $7 / 24 / 1984$ |
| $10 / 20 / 1987$ | $56 \%$ Gain in 2.75 Years | $10 / 20 / 1987$ | $10 / 20 / 1987$ | $10 / 19 / 1987$ |
| $7 / 24 / 2002$ | $85 \%$ Gain in 5 Years | $7 / 24 / 2002$ | $7 / 24 / 2002$ | $7 / 23 / 2002$ |

Table 4a
TRIN + Volume + 3-Year High
SELL SIGNAL

| Signal Date | Signal Results | TRIN <br> Date | 5-Day <br> Volume Date | 3-Year <br> High Date |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $9 / 27 / 1965$ | $3.63 \%$ rally followed by $22 \%$ <br> Bear Market | $9 / 24 / 1965$ | $9 / 24 / 1965$ | $9 / 27 / 1965$ |
| $11 / 28 / 1980$ | Top day to $27 \%$ Bear Market | $11 / 20 / 1980$ | $11 / 19 / 1980$ | $11 / 28 / 1980$ |
| $8 / 13 / 1987$ | 8 days and $.63 \%$ before 1987 <br> crash $33.5 \%$ | $8 / 11 / 1987$ | $8 / 12 / 1987$ | $8 / 13 / 1987$ |
|  | 3 days and $2.2 \%$ to $2000-2003$ <br> $45 \%$ Decline | $3 / 16 / 2000$ | $3 / 17 / 2000$ | $3 / 21 / 2000$ |

## Technical Analysis Redefined

Combining extremes in TRIN, volume, and proximity to potential reflective boundaries, creates an indicator that correctly identified seven major bull markets and four major bear markets. (see charts appendix 6 and 7)

We have demonstrated that at significant turning points, the ultimate trend of the market can be predicted. We have introduced a new idea in technical analysis, the idea of "reflecting boundaries." While in this paper we have demonstrated longer term boundaries, this idea can be used for the shorter term as well. This concept when used in conjunction with existing technical indicators can greatly assist the analyst in pinpointing market turning points. We hope this paper opens new possibilities for those who work at this mystifying discipline.

## Appendices

## Appendix 1

All signals listed

| S\&P 500 GAINS 8\% over a 5-Day Period |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DATE | DATE | DATE | DATE | DATE | DATE |
| 3/19/2003 | 9/9/1939 | 10/27/1933 | 12/9/1932 | 7/27/1932 | 1/5/1931 |
| 3/18/2003 | 9/8/1939 | 10/25/1933 | 11/12/1932 | 7/26/1932 | 1/3/1931 |
| 10/17/2002 | 9/7/1939 | 7/27/1933 | 11/11/1932 | 7/25/1932 | 11/15/1930 |
| 10/16/2002 | 9/6/1939 | 7/7/1933 | 11/10/1932 | 7/23/1932 | 12/7/1929 |
| 10/15/2002 | 9/5/1939 | 7/6/1933 | 10/19/1932 | 7/15/1932 | 11/21/1929 |
| 8/12/2002 | 10/5/1938 | 6/21/1933 | 10/17/1932 | 7/14/1932 | 11/20/1929 |
| 7/31/2002 | 10/4/1938 | 6/12/1933 | 9/24/1932 | 7/13/1932 | 11/19/1929 |
| 7/30/2002 | 10/3/1938 | 6/2/1933 | 9/23/1932 | 6/15/1932 |  |
| 7/29/2002 | 10/1/1938 | 5/29/1933 | 9/22/1932 | 6/14/1932 |  |
| 3/21/2000 | 6/25/1938 | 5/27/1933 | 9/21/1932 | 6/7/1932 |  |
| 10/15/1998 | 6/24/1938 | 5/4/1933 | 9/7/1932 | 6/6/1932 |  |
| 11/2/1987 | 6/23/1938 | 5/3/1933 | 8/26/1932 | 3/5/1932 |  |
| 8/6/1984 | 6/22/1938 | 5/2/1933 | 8/25/1932 | 2/17/1932 |  |
| 10/13/1982 | 6/21/1938 | 5/1/1933 | 8/24/1932 | 2/16/1932 |  |
| 10/12/1982 | 5/7/1938 | 4/25/1933 | 8/23/1932 | 2/15/1932 |  |
| 10/11/1982 | 4/13/1938 | 4/24/1933 | 8/19/1932 | 2/13/1932 |  |
| 8/26/1982 | 4/12/1938 | 4/22/1933 | 8/18/1932 | 1/11/1932 |  |
| 8/25/1982 | 4/9/1938 | 4/21/1933 | 8/11/1932 | 1/9/1932 |  |
| 8/23/1982 | 4/6/1938 | 4/20/1933 | 8/10/1932 | 1/8/1932 |  |
| 8/20/1982 | 4/5/1938 | 4/19/1933 | 8/9/1932 | 11/9/1931 |  |
| 10/15/1974 | 1/11/1938 | 4/13/1933 | 8/8/1932 | 10/21/1931 |  |
| 10/14/1974 | 1/10/1938 | 4/11/1933 | 8/6/1932 | 10/20/1931 |  |
| 10/11/1974 | 1/8/1938 | 4/10/1933 | 8/5/1932 | 10/10/1931 |  |
| 10/10/1974 | 1/6/1938 | 3/20/1933 | 8/4/1932 | 10/9/1931 |  |
| 6/2/1970 | 10/29/1937 | 3/18/1933 | 8/3/1932 | 10/8/1931 |  |
| 6/1/1970 | 10/25/1937 | 3/17/1933 | 8/1/1932 | 6/26/1931 |  |
| 7/5/1962 | 7/7/1937 | 3/16/1933 | 7/30/1932 | 6/25/1931 |  |
| 1/5/1942 | 6/8/1934 | 3/15/1933 | 7/29/1932 | 6/24/1931 |  |
| 6/15/1940 | 1/19/1934 | 1/4/1933 | 7/28/1932 | 6/8/1931 |  |

Appendix 2

| 4-6 Days after 90 Day Low |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Signal Date | Days post 90-day low | Signal |
| 3/18/2003 | 5 | BUY SIGNAL |
| 10/15/2002 | 4 | BUY SIGNAL |
| 8/12/2002 | 14 | Mid-Range |
| 7/29/2002 | 4 | BUY SIGNAL |
| 3/21/2000 | 20 | Mid-Range |
| 10/15/1998 | 31 | Mid-Range |
| 11/2/1987 | 10 | Mid-Range |
| 8/6/1984 | 9 | Mid-Range |
| 10/11/1982 | 41 | Mid-Range |
| 8/20/1982 | 6 | BUY SIGNAL |
| 10/10/1974 | 6 | BUY SIGNAL |
| 6/1/1970 | 4 | BUY SIGNAL |
| 7/5/1962 | 6 | BUY SIGNAL |
| 1/5/1942 | 5 | BUY SIGNAL |
| 6/15/1940 | 5 | BUY SIGNAL |
| 9/5/1939 | 34 | Mid-Range |
| 10/1/1938 | 89 | Mid-Range |
| 6/21/1938 | 68 | Mid-Range |
| 5/7/1938 | 31 | Mid-Range |
| 4/12/1938 | 10 | Mid-Range |
| 4/9/1938 | 8 | Mid-Range |
| 4/5/1938 | 4 | BUY SIGNAL |
| 1/8/1938 | 38 | Mid-Range |
| 1/6/1938 | 36 | Mid-Range |
| 10/29/1937 | 10 | Mid-Range |
| 10/25/1937 | 6 | BUY SIGNAL |
| 7/7/1937 | 6 | BUY SIGNAL |
| 6/8/1934 | 5 | BUY SIGNAL |
| 1/19/1934 | 73 | Mid-Range |
| 10/27/1933 | 5 | BUY SIGNAL |
| 10/25/1933 | 3 | 3 Day Limit |
| 7/27/1933 | 88 | Mid-Range |
| 7/6/1933 | 80 | Mid-Range |
| 6/21/1933 | 87 | Mid-Range |
| 6/12/1933 | 79 | Mid-Range |


| 4-6 Days after 90 Day Low |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Signal Date | Days post 90-day low | Signal |
| 6/2/1933 | 71 | Mid-Range |
| 5/27/1933 | 67 | Mid-Range |
| 5/1/1933 | 44 | Mid-Range |
| 4/19/1933 | 34 | Mid-Range |
| 4/13/1933 | 26 | Mid-Range |
| 4/10/1933 | 23 | Mid-Range |
| 3/15/1933 | 5 | BUY SIGNAL |
| 1/4/1933 | 69 | Mid-Range |
| 12/9/1932 | 49 | Mid-Range |
| 11/10/1932 | 89 | Mid-Range |
| 10/19/1932 | 86 | Mid-Range |
| 10/17/1932 | 84 | Mid-Range |
| 9/21/1932 | 30 | Mid-Range |
| 9/7/1932 | 81 | Mid-Range |
| 8/23/1932 | 43 | Mid-Range |
| 8/18/1932 | 35 | Mid-Range |
| 8/3/1932 | 22 | Mid-Range |
| 7/23/1932 | 43 | Mid-Range |
| 7/13/1932 | 34 | Mid-Range |
| 6/14/1932 | 11 | Mid-Range |
| 6/6/1932 | 4 | BUY SIGNAL |
| 3/5/1932 | 27 | Mid-Range |
| 2/13/1932 | 2 | 3 Day Limit |
| 1/8/1932 | 3 | 3 Day Limit |
| 11/9/1931 | 28 | Mid-Range |
| 10/20/1931 | 12 | Mid-Range |
| 10/9/1931 | 4 | BUY SIGNAL |
| 10/8/1931 | 3 | 3 Day Limit |
| 6/24/1931 | 19 | Mid-Range |
| 6/8/1931 | 5 | BUY SIGNAL |
| 1/3/1931 | 14 | Mid-Range |
| 11/15/1930 | 5 | BUY SIGNAL |
| 12/7/1929 | 16 | Mid-Range |
| 11/19/1929 | 4 | BUY SIGNAL |
|  |  |  |

Mid-Range: Signal more than six days after 90 day low
Three Day Limit: Signals one to three days after 90 day low
BUY SIGNAL: Signals within four, five or six days after a 90 day low

## Appendix 3

All Signals Listed

| S\&P 500 DECLINES 8\% over a 5-Day Period |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DATE | DATE | DATE | DATE | DATE |
| 7/23/2002 | 2/25/1946 | 9/10/1937 | 9/14/1932 | 9/9/1931 |
| 7/22/2002 | 5/22/1940 | 9/7/1937 | 9/13/1932 | 6/2/1931 |
| 9/21/2001 | 5/21/1940 | 7/27/1934 | 9/12/1932 | 12/16/1930 |
| 9/20/2001 | 5/18/1940 | 7/26/1934 | 6/2/1932 | 11/10/1930 |
| 9/19/2001 | 5/17/1940 | 7/24/1934 | 6/1/1932 | 10/9/1930 |
| 9/18/2001 | 5/16/1940 | 10/20/1933 | 5/31/1932 | 6/18/1930 |
| 9/17/2001 | 5/15/1940 | 10/19/1933 | 5/28/1932 | 6/16/1930 |
| 4/14/2000 | 5/14/1940 | 10/18/1933 | 5/27/1932 | 12/20/1929 |
| 9/2/1998 | 5/13/1940 | 10/16/1933 | 5/25/1932 | 11/14/1929 |
| 9/1/1998 | 4/8/1939 | 7/25/1933 | 5/3/1932 | 11/13/1929 |
| 8/31/1998 | 3/31/1939 | 7/24/1933 | 4/11/1932 | 11/12/1929 |
| 10/27/1997 | 1/26/1939 | 7/22/1933 | 4/9/1932 | 11/11/1929 |
| 10/28/1987 | 9/27/1938 | 7/21/1933 | 4/8/1932 | 11/6/1929 |
| 10/23/1987 | 9/14/1938 | 7/20/1933 | 4/7/1932 | 11/4/1929 |
| 10/22/1987 | 9/13/1938 | 6/17/1933 | 4/6/1932 | 10/30/1929 |
| 10/21/1987 | 3/31/1938 | 3/22/1933 | 4/5/1932 | 10/29/1929 |
| 10/20/1987 | 3/30/1938 | 3/21/1933 | 12/14/1931 | 10/28/1929 |
| 10/19/1987 | 3/29/1938 | 2/25/1933 | 12/12/1931 | 10/24/1929 |
| 10/16/1987 | 3/26/1938 | 2/16/1933 | 12/11/1931 | 10/23/1929 |
| 9/30/1974 | 3/25/1938 | 11/3/1932 | 11/23/1931 | 10/21/1929 |
| 9/13/1974 | 12/28/1937 | 10/25/1932 | 10/5/1931 | 10/19/1929 |
| 5/26/1970 | 11/24/1937 | 10/13/1932 | 10/3/1931 | 12/8/1928 |
| 5/25/1970 | 11/23/1937 | 10/10/1932 | 10/2/1931 |  |
| 5/28/1962 | 11/22/1937 | 10/8/1932 | 10/1/1931 |  |
| 6/29/1950 | 11/19/1937 | 10/7/1932 | 9/30/1931 |  |
| 9/9/1946 | 11/6/1937 | 10/6/1932 | 9/29/1931 |  |
| 9/4/1946 | 10/19/1937 | 10/5/1932 | 9/22/1931 |  |
| 9/3/1946 | 10/18/1937 | 9/16/1932 | 9/21/1931 |  |
| 2/26/1946 | 10/14/1937 | 9/15/1932 | 9/19/1931 |  |

Appendix 4

| 5-day average total NYSE volume is highest in 250 days |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DATE | DATE | DATE | DATE | DATE | DATE | DATE | DATE | DATE |
| 8/10/2007 | 4/19/1999 | 10/17/1989 | 4/20/1978 | 1/9/1968 | 3/10/1961 | 7/19/1954 | 1/11/1946 | 11/18/1935 |
| 8/9/2007 | 9/2/1998 | 10/16/1989 | 4/19/1978 | 1/4/1968 | 3/9/1961 | 7/16/1954 | 12/7/1945 | 10/25/1935 |
| 8/1/2007 | 9/1/1998 | 2/1/1989 | 4/18/1978 | 1/3/1968 | 3/8/1961 | 7/15/1954 | 6/29/1945 | 10/24/1935 |
| 7/31/2007 | 10/30/1997 | 1/31/1989 | 4/17/1978 | 8/4/1967 | 2/28/1961 | 7/14/1954 | 6/16/1944 | 10/23/1935 |
| 7/30/2007 | 10/29/1997 | 1/30/1989 | 4/14/1978 | 8/3/1967 | 2/27/1961 | 7/12/1954 | 6/15/1944 | 8/14/1935 |
| 3/5/2007 | 10/28/1997 | 1/27/1989 | 7/21/1977 | 8/2/1967 | 2/24/1961 | 5/24/1954 | 6/14/1944 | 8/13/1935 |
| 3/2/2007 | 10/27/1997 | 10/22/1987 | 7/20/1977 | 7/21/1967 | 2/23/1961 | 4/30/1954 | 6/13/1944 | 8/2/1935 |
| 5/24/2006 | 10/24/1997 | 10/21/1987 | 2/25/1976 | 7/13/1967 | 2/21/1961 | 4/20/1954 | 5/7/1943 | 8/1/1935 |
| 5/23/2006 | 10/23/1997 | 10/20/1987 | 2/24/1976 | 3/16/1967 | 2/3/1961 | 4/14/1954 | 4/9/1943 | 7/21/1933 |
| 9/22/2005 | 7/18/1997 | 10/19/1987 | 2/23/1976 | 1/17/1967 | 2/2/1961 | 4/6/1953 | 4/8/1943 | 7/20/1933 |
| 9/21/2005 | 7/17/1997 | 8/13/1987 | 2/5/1976 | 1/16/1967 | 1/31/1961 | 3/31/1953 | 4/7/1943 | 6/9/1933 |
| 4/20/2005 | 6/25/1997 | 8/12/1987 | 2/4/1976 | 4/15/1966 | 1/30/1961 | 3/2/1953 | 4/6/1943 | 6/2/1933 |
| 4/19/2005 | 1/27/1997 | 1/20/1987 | 1/30/1976 | 4/14/1966 | 1/16/1961 | 2/27/1953 | 3/30/1943 | 6/1/1933 |
| 12/17/2004 | 1/24/1997 | 1/16/1987 | 1/29/1976 | 3/4/1966 | 1/13/1961 | 12/1/1952 | 3/5/1943 | 5/31/1933 |
| 5/4/2004 | 1/23/1997 | 1/15/1987 | 1/27/1976 | 3/3/1966 | 1/12/1961 | 11/24/1952 | 3/4/1943 | 4/24/1933 |
| 2/2/2004 | 1/10/1997 | 1/14/1987 | 1/26/1976 | 12/10/1965 | 1/11/1961 | 11/21/1952 | 3/1/1943 | 8/12/1932 |
| 1/22/2004 | 12/20/1996 | 1/12/1987 | 1/21/1976 | 12/9/1965 | 1/10/1961 | 11/20/1952 | 2/27/1943 | 8/11/1932 |
| 1/9/2004 | 7/17/1996 | 1/9/1987 | 1/20/1976 | 12/8/1965 | 1/5/1961 | 11/19/1952 | 2/26/1943 | 8/10/1932 |
| 7/25/2002 | 12/20/1995 | 9/15/1986 | 1/15/1976 | 12/7/1965 | 5/19/1960 | 12/22/1950 | 2/16/1943 | 8/9/1932 |
| 7/24/2002 | 12/19/1995 | 9/12/1986 | 1/13/1976 | 12/6/1965 | 5/18/1960 | 6/30/1950 | 2/15/1943 | 8/8/1932 |
| 7/23/2002 | 12/18/1995 | 3/17/1986 | 1/12/1976 | 12/3/1965 | 10/20/1958 | 6/29/1950 | 2/13/1943 | 11/4/1929 |
| 9/21/2001 | 12/15/1995 | 3/14/1986 | 1/31/1975 | 10/14/1965 | 10/17/1958 | 6/28/1950 | 12/31/1942 | 10/29/1929 |
| 9/20/2001 | 12/6/1995 | 3/13/1986 | 1/30/1975 | 9/29/1965 | 10/16/1958 | 6/27/1950 | 12/30/1942 | 10/28/1929 |
| 9/19/2001 | 7/12/1995 | 12/16/1985 | 1/29/1975 | 9/28/1965 | 10/15/1958 | 4/21/1950 | 12/29/1942 | 10/25/1929 |
| 9/18/2001 | 7/11/1995 | 12/13/1985 | 1/28/1975 | 9/24/1965 | 10/14/1958 | 1/13/1950 | 12/18/1942 | 10/24/1929 |
| 9/17/2001 | 6/22/1995 | 12/11/1985 | 9/25/1973 | 9/23/1965 | 10/24/1957 | 1/12/1950 | 12/31/1941 |  |
| 1/9/2001 | 2/6/1995 | 12/10/1985 | 11/8/1972 | 9/22/1965 | 10/23/1957 | 1/11/1950 | 12/30/1941 |  |
| 1/8/2001 | 2/3/1995 | 8/7/1984 | 3/7/1972 | 6/30/1965 | 10/22/1957 | 1/10/1950 | 12/12/1941 |  |
| 1/5/2001 | 4/6/1994 | 8/6/1984 | 3/6/1972 | 6/15/1965 | 10/14/1957 | 1/9/1950 | 12/11/1941 |  |
| 1/4/2001 | 4/5/1994 | 8/3/1984 | 2/9/1971 | 3/5/1965 | 10/11/1957 | 12/16/1949 | 12/10/1941 |  |
| 12/21/2000 | 1/10/1994 | 1/10/1984 | 2/8/1971 | 3/4/1965 | 1/7/1955 | 12/15/1949 | 12/9/1941 |  |
| 12/6/2000 | 1/7/1994 | 1/9/1984 | 2/4/1971 | 3/2/1965 | 1/6/1955 | 12/14/1949 | 12/8/1941 |  |
| 12/5/2000 | 10/20/1993 | 10/13/1982 | 2/3/1971 | 3/1/1965 | 1/5/1955 | 12/9/1949 | 12/5/1941 |  |
| 10/31/2000 | 10/19/1993 | 10/12/1982 | 2/2/1971 | 2/25/1965 | 1/4/1955 | 12/7/1949 | 9/8/1939 |  |
| 10/18/2000 | 10/18/1993 | 8/26/1982 | 2/1/1971 | 2/1/1965 | 1/3/1955 | 12/6/1949 | 9/7/1939 |  |
| 3/17/2000 | 2/24/1993 | 8/24/1982 | 1/27/1971 | 1/29/1965 | 12/9/1954 | 12/5/1949 | 9/6/1939 |  |
| 3/16/2000 | 2/22/1993 | 8/23/1982 | 1/26/1971 | 11/27/1963 | 12/8/1954 | 10/28/1949 | 9/5/1939 |  |
| 3/7/2000 | 2/8/1993 | 8/20/1982 | 1/25/1971 | 10/28/1963 | 12/7/1954 | 5/18/1948 | 10/19/1938 |  |
| 3/6/2000 | 2/5/1993 | 8/19/1982 | 12/4/1970 | 10/25/1963 | 12/6/1954 | 5/17/1948 | 10/18/1938 |  |
| 3/3/2000 | 2/4/1993 | 8/18/1982 | 9/29/1970 | 10/23/1963 | 11/30/1954 | 5/15/1948 | 10/22/1937 |  |
| 3/1/2000 | 1/27/1993 | 3/9/1982 | 9/28/1970 | 10/22/1963 | 11/18/1954 | 5/14/1948 | 10/21/1937 |  |
| 1/27/2000 | 1/26/1993 | 3/8/1982 | 9/25/1970 | 9/11/1963 | 11/17/1954 | 4/23/1948 | 10/20/1937 |  |
| 1/26/2000 | 1/25/1993 | 3/5/1982 | 6/1/1970 | 9/9/1963 | 11/12/1954 | 4/22/1948 | 1/15/1937 |  |
| 1/25/2000 | 1/20/1993 | 11/19/1980 | 12/19/1968 | 9/6/1963 | 8/6/1954 | 4/21/1948 | 1/14/1937 |  |
| 1/24/2000 | 1/17/1992 | 2/13/1980 | 6/13/1968 | 6/1/1962 | 8/5/1954 | 4/20/1948 | 1/13/1937 |  |
| 1/21/2000 | 2/7/1991 | 1/16/1980 | 6/7/1968 | 5/31/1962 | 8/4/1954 | 9/10/1946 | 2/21/1936 |  |
| 1/11/2000 | 2/6/1991 | 1/14/1980 | 4/10/1968 | 5/29/1962 | 8/3/1954 | 9/9/1946 | 2/20/1936 |  |
| 1/10/2000 | 2/5/1991 | 1/11/1980 | 4/5/1968 | 5/28/1962 | 8/2/1954 | 1/18/1946 | 11/22/1935 |  |
| 12/17/1999 | 10/19/1989 | 10/11/1979 | 4/4/1968 | 4/5/1961 | 7/30/1954 | 1/14/1946 | 11/21/1935 |  |
| 4/20/1999 | 10/18/1989 | 10/10/1979 | 4/3/1968 | 4/4/1961 | 7/20/1954 | 1/12/1946 | 11/19/1935 |  |

Appendix 5

| 5-day average total NYSE volume is highest in 375 days |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DATE | Date | DATE | DATE | DATE | Date | DATE |
| 8/10/2007 | 9/2/1998 | 10/16/1989 | 2/4/1976 | 4/1/5/1966 | 10/24/1957 | 4/21/1948 |
| 8/9/2007 | 9/1/1998 | 10/22/1987 | 1/30/1976 | 4/14/1966 | 10/23/1957 | 4/20/1948 |
| 8/1/2007 | 10/30/1997 | 10/21/1987 | 1/29/1976 | 3/4/1966 | 10/22/1957 | 9/10/1946 |
| 7/31/2007 | 10/29/1997 | 10/20/1987 | 1/27/1976 | 3/3/1966 | 10/14/1957 | 9/9/1946 |
| 7/30/2007 | 10/28/1997 | 10/19/1987 | 1/26/1976 | 12/10/1965 | 10/11/1957 | 1/18/1946 |
| 3/5/2007 | 10/27/1997 | 8/13/1987 | 1/21/1976 | 12/9/1965 | 1/7/1955 | 1/14/1946 |
| 3/2/200 | 10/24/1997 | 8/1/2/1987 | 1/20/1976 | 12/8/1965 | 1/6/1955 | 1/12/1946 |
| 5/24/2006 | 10/23/1997 | 1/20/1987 | 1/15/1976 | 12/7/1965 | 1/5/1955 | 1/11/1946 |
| 5/23/2006 | 7/18/1997 | 1/16/1987 | 1/13/1976 | 12/6/1965 | 1/4/1955 | 12/7/1945 |
| 9/22/2005 | 7/17/1997 | 1/15/1987 | 1/12/1976 | 12/3/1965 | 1/3/1955 | 6/29/1945 |
| 9/21/2005 | 6/25/1997 | 1/14/1987 | 1/31/1975 | 10/14/1965 | 12/9/1954 | 5/7/1943 |
| 4/20/2005 | 1/27/1997 | 1/1/2/1987 | 1/30/1975 | 9/29/1965 | 12/8/1954 | 4/9/1943 |
| 4/19/2005 | 1/24/1997 | 1/9/1987 | 1/29/1975 | 9/28/1965 | 12/7/1954 | 4/8/1943 |
| 12/17/2004 | 1/23/1997 | 9/15/1986 | 1/28/1975 | 9/24/1965 | 12/6/1954 | 4/7/1943 |
| 7/25/2002 | 1/10/1997 | 9/12/1986 | 9/25/1973 | 9/23/1965 | 11/30/1954 | 4/6/1943 |
| 7/24/2002 | 12/20/1996 | 3/17/1986 | 11/8/1972 | 9/22/1965 | 11/18/1954 | 12/31/1941 |
| 7/23/2002 | 7/17/1996 | 3/14/1986 | 2/9/1971 | 6/30/1965 | 11/17/1954 | 12/30/1941 |
| 9/21/2001 | 12/20/1995 | 3/11/1986 | 2/8/1971 | 6/15/1965 | 11/12/1954 | 12/12/1941 |
| 9/20/2001 | 12/19/1995 | 877/1984 | 2/4/1971 | 6/1/1962 | 8/6/1954 | 12/11/1941 |
| 9/19/2001 | 12/18/1995 | 8/6/1984 | 2/3/1971 | 5/31/1962 | 8/5/1954 | 12/10/1941 |
| 9/18/2001 | 12/15/1995 | 8/3/1984 | 2/2/1971 | 5/29/1962 | 8/4/1954 | 9/8/1939 |
| 9/17/2001 | 12/6/1995 | 10/13/1982 | 2/1/1971 | 5/28/1962 | 8/3/1954 | 9/7/1939 |
| 1/9/2001 | 7/12/1995 | 10/12/1982 | 1/27/1971 | 4/5/1961 | 8/2/1954 | 9/6/1939 |
| 1/8/2001 | 7/11/1995 | 8/26/1982 | 1/26/1971 | 4/4/1961 | 7/30/1954 | 10/22/1937 |
| 1/5/2001 | 6/22/1995 | 8/24/1982 | 1/25/1971 | 3/10/1961 | 7/20/1954 | 10/21/1937 |
| 1/4/2001 | 2/6/1995 | 8/23/1982 | 12/4/1970 | 3/9/1961 | 4/6/1953 | 10/20/1937 |
| 12/21/2000 | 2/3/1995 | 8/20/1982 | 9/29/1970 | 3/8/1961 | 3/31/1953 | 2/21/1936 |
| 12/6/2000 | 4/6/1994 | 8/19/1982 | 9/28/1970 | 2/28/1961 | 3/2/1953 | 2/20/1936 |
| 12/5/2000 | 4/5/1994 | 8/18/1982 | 9/25/1970 | 2/27/1961 | 2/27/1953 | 11/22/1935 |
| 10/31/2000 | 1/10/1994 | 3/9/1982 | 12/19/1968 | 2/24/1961 | 12/22/1950 | 11/21/1935 |
| 10/18/2000 | 1/7/1994 | 3/8/1982 | 6/13/1968 | 2/23/1961 | 6/30/1950 | 11/19/1935 |
| 3/17/2000 | 10/20/1993 | 11/19/1980 | 6/7/1968 | 2/21/1961 | 6/29/1950 | 11/18/1935 |
| 3/16/2000 | 10/19/1993 | 2/11/1980 | 4/10/1968 | 2/3/1961 | 6/28/1950 | 10/25/1935 |
| 7/2000 | 10/18/1993 | 1/16/1980 | 4/5/1968 | 2/2/1961 | 6/27/1950 | 10/24/1935 |
| 3/6/2000 | 2/24/1993 | 1/14/1980 | 4/4/1968 | 1/31/1961 | 4/21/1950 | 10/23/1935 |
| 3/3/2000 | 2/22/1993 | 1/11/1980 | 4/3/1968 | 1/30/1961 | 1/13/1950 | 8/14/1935 |
| 3/1/2000 | 2/8/1993 | 10/11/1979 | 1/9/1968 | 1/16/1961 | 1/12/1950 | 7/21/1933 |
| 1/27/2000 | 2/5/1993 | 10/10/1979 | 1/4/1968 | 1/13/1961 | 1/11/1950 | 7/20/1933 |
| 1/26/2000 | 2/4/1993 | 4/20/1978 | 1/3/1968 | 1/1/2/1961 | 1/1/0/1950 | 6/9/1933 |
| 1/25/2000 | 1/27/1993 | 4/19/1978 | 8/4/1967 | 1/11/1961 | 1/9/1950 | 6/2/1933 |
| 1/24/2000 | 1/26/1993 | 4/1/1979 | 8/3/1967 | 1/1/0/1961 | 12/16/1949 | 6/1/1933 |
| 1/21/2000 | 1/17/1992 | 4/17/1978 | 8/2/1967 | 1/5/1961 | 5/18/1948 | 5/31/1933 |
| 1/11/2000 | 2/7/1991 | 4/14/1978 | 7/21/1967 | 10/20/1958 | 5/17/1948 | 4/24/1933 |
| 1/10/2000 | 2/6/1991 | 2/25/1976 | 7/13/1967 | 10/17/1958 | 5/15/1948 | 8/12/1932 |
| 12/17/1999 | 10/19/1989 | 2/24/1976 | 3/16/1967 | 10/16/1958 | 5/14/1948 | 8/11/1932 |
| 4/20/1999 | 10/18/1989 | 2/23/1976 | 1/17/1967 | 10/15/1958 | 4/23/1948 |  |
| 4/19/1999 | 10/17/1989 | 2/5/1976 | 1/16/1967 | 10/14/1958 | 4/22/1948 |  |

## Appendix 6

Table 4 BUY SIGNAL


Appendix 7
Table 4a SELL SIGNAL
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    ${ }^{7}$ At the eight market peaks from 1901 to 1929 yields averaged $3.55 \%$. At the 10 market peaks from 1930 to 1956 yields averaged $4.74 \%$. At the 10 market peaks from 1960 to 1984 yields averaged $3.11 \%$ At the five market peaks since 1987 yields averaged $1.97 \%$ (Ned Davis Research reports 405 and 400)
    ${ }^{8}$ NDR charts \# S485 and S486.
    ${ }^{9}$ Investors have increased margined investments as \% of GDP from . $43 \%$ in 1950 to $2.00 \%$ currently. NDR charts 20420
    ${ }^{10}$ Bond yields are currently 2.4 times stock yields
    ${ }^{11}$ At the five market peaks since 1987, yields averaged 1.97\% (Ned Davis Research reports 405 and 400)
    ${ }^{12}$ NDR charts \# S485 and S486
    ${ }^{13}$ Investors have increased margined investments as \% of GDP from . $43 \%$ in 1950 to $2.00 \%$ currently. NDR charts 20420
    ${ }^{14}$ Paul M. Montgomery Universal Economics Jan 2, 2007 (757-597-9528)
    ${ }^{15}$ See http://www.jcu.edu/math/isep/Quincunx/Quincunx.html
    ${ }^{16}$ William Sharpe, et al. Investments, ( $6{ }^{\text {th }}$ Ed.)

[^2]:    ${ }^{17}$ Full House by Stephen Jay Gould, pages 149-151
    ${ }^{18}$ See The Essays of Warren Buffet. Cunningham, Pg. 65
    ${ }^{19} 84^{\text {th }}$ Congress, $1^{\text {st }}$ session, "Factors Affecting the Buying and Selling of Securities," March 11, 1955
    ${ }^{20}$ Technical disciplines are indeed a mystery. We do know from experience though, that these disciplines work

[^3]:    ${ }^{21}$ We are not the first to notice the predictive ability of this raw Five-day ROC data
    ${ }^{22}$ Readers should note that prior to March, 1957, the S\&P 500 consisted of only 90 stocks and was therefore less suitable to general market analysis

[^4]:    ${ }^{23}$ William J. O'Neill has elaborated on this concept in his market studies.

[^5]:    ${ }^{24}$ Oversold action may signal within one day of a low. Only thrust action within three days of a low is suspect

[^6]:    JourvaL of Technical Analysis is published by the Market Technicians Association, Inc., (MTA) 61 Broadway, Suite 514, New York, NY 10006. Its purpose is to promote the investigation and analysis of the price and volume activities of the world's financial markets. JourvaL of Technical Analysis is distributed to individuals (both academic and practitioner) and libraries in the United States, Canada and several other countries in Europe and Asia. Jourval of Technical Analysis is copyrighted by the Market Technicians Association and registered with the Library of Congress. All rights are reserved.

